

## Character and Relationship Assessment Review Tool

This tool is designed to help lenders reflect on and refine how they use information, relationships, and processes in character-based and relationship-based decisionmaking. It combines guiding questions with concrete practices, offering both a quick-scan resource and a deep dive into potential improvements.

### How to Use This Tool

**Quick Scan:** Focus on the “Ask” questions to identify where your practices may need review.

**Deep Dive:** Explore the “Consider” lists for specific actions your institution can adopt or adapt.

**Ongoing Practice:** Revisit regularly as part of portfolio reviews, product development, staff training, and community engagement planning.

### 1. Information:

Ask yourself:

- ☐ What are we really trying to learn when assessing “Character”: trustworthiness, preparation, or financial behavior?
- ☐ Which information is truly valid for determining likelihood to repay?
- ☐ Are we using signals that are predictive and accurate, or just familiar and comfortable?
- ☐ Could certain traits (such as polished communication or timeliness) reflect privilege more than repayment ability?
- ☐ How might the information we rely on unintentionally introduce bias?

Consider these practices:

- ☐ Create standardized questions that guide applicants to describe repayment plans and strategies for managing hardship.
- ☐ Provide opportunities for applicants with thin files to share stories of resilience, resourcefulness, and financial creativity.
- ☐ Remove application requirements that do not directly indicate repayment ability, reducing burden on applicants and staff.
- ☐ Regularly test and review whether each piece of information is predictive and unbiased before using it in decisioning.

## 2. Relationships and Affiliations

Ask yourself:

Which relationships influence our decisions? Consider whether you rely on:

- ☐ Direct relationships with the institution – personal connections to staff, existing customer accounts, or participation in internal programs.
- ☐ Relationships through partners – referrals from trusted TA providers, co-lenders, nonprofits, or community organizations.
- ☐ Applicant’s own networks and ecosystem – vendors, suppliers, customers, or personal references from family, friends, and supporters.
- ☐ Social signals and affiliations – shared memberships, community ties, or online and social media presence.

Also ask:

- ☐ Are these relationships equitably accessible, and how might they reinforce inequities if not?
- ☐ Which types of relationships provide valid, repayment-related insights, and where might bias or favoritism creep in?
- ☐ What safeguards can minimize the risk of bias?

Consider these practices:

- ☐ Document in credit memos whether a relationship was used in decisioning and how it was validated.
- ☐ Ensure that direct familiarity with staff does not create “halo effects” or fast-tracking.
- ☐ Proactively ask applicants if vendors, suppliers, or landlords can provide repayment references.
- ☐ Diversify technical assistance partners and community referrers.
- ☐ Implement a community advisory council or include community members from communities of color on credit committees.
- ☐ If using social media or online signals, apply them consistently, explain their relevance, and give applicants a chance to provide context.

## 3. Methods and Processes

Ask yourself:

- ☐ Are our applications, interviews, and follow-ups designed with transparency and equity in mind?
- ☐ Do our processes unintentionally penalize applicants with multiple jobs, limited English proficiency, or low tech access?
- ☐ Are our criteria and timelines clear and predictable for applicants?



Consider these practices:

- ☐ Simplify application forms, provide translations, and remove or clearly explain all financial terms.
- ☐ Share turnaround time expectations, offer multiple communication methods, and provide proactive applicant support.
- ☐ Normalize applicants asking questions or seeking help, framing it as engagement rather than weakness.
- ☐ Offer reference forms for vendors, suppliers, landlords, and housing authorities to make the applicant's repayment record easier to provide.
- ☐ Invite applicants to present directly to credit committees when it would help them tell their story.
- ☐ Standardize due diligence procedures across applicants and review to ensure that these are applied consistently.
- ☐ Establish policies to ensure staff do not add opinions or extraneous personal information to underwriting files or verbally.

#### **4. Building Capacity and Community Trust**

Ask yourself:

- ☐ Does our staff and leadership reflect the community we serve?
- ☐ Are we building long-term, authentic relationships in communities of color?
- ☐ Have we created pathways that open access before applicants ever seek a loan?

Consider these practices:

##### **Workforce and Training**

- ☐ Hire and promote staff and board members who reflect the community and bring lived experience.
- ☐ Employ staff who speak the primary languages of your borrower base.
- ☐ Diversify credit committees to include community representatives.
- ☐ Provide ongoing training in cultural sensitivity, bias awareness, and trauma-informed practices.

##### **Community Engagement**

- ☐ Actively participate in community organizations and build long-term relationships through staff and leadership engagement
- ☐ Proactively reach out to communities of color with deposit accounts, credit-building programs, incubators, and technical assistance.
- ☐ Create referral incentives for borrowers to bring in peers.



- ☐ Offer low or no-cost deposit accounts that help unbanked individuals, including people of color, establish relationships before applying for credit.
- ☐ Adjust compensation and incentives so that closing loans for borrowers of color is valued alongside overall loan volume.

